

**Laws & Rules, Governmental Services Committee
Regular Meeting Minutes**

DATE & TIME: May 18, 2020 – 6:00 PM
LOCATION: Powered by Zoom Meeting by Dialing (646) 558-8656
Meeting ID: 919 1079 4453
PRESIDING OFFICER: Chairwoman Bartels
LEGISLATIVE STAFF: Jay Mahler, Deputy Clerk
PRESENT: Legislators Gavaris, Heppner, Roberts (arrived at 6:10 PM) & Ronk
ABSENT: None
QUORUM PRESENT: Yes

OTHER ATTENDEES: Legislators Archer & Petit, Legislative Counsel Gordon, Minority Counsel Pascale, Legislative Clerk Fabella, Chairman’s Confidential Secretary Lichtenstein, Commissioners Turco & Dittus and Deputy Commissioner Fuentes – UC Board of Elections

Chairwoman Bartels called the meeting to order at 6:07 PM.

Motion No. 1: Moved to APPROVE Minutes of the April 27, 2020 meeting

Motion By: Legislator Ronk
Motion Seconded By: Legislator Gavaris

Discussion: None

Voting In Favor: Legislators Bartels, Gavaris, Heppner & Ronk
Voting Against: None
Votes in Favor: 4
Votes Against: 0
Disposition: **Minutes APPROVED**

Resolutions for the May 19, 2020 Session of the Legislature

LATE Resolution No. 193: Authorizing The Chair Of The Ulster County Legislature To Enter Into An Agreement Under The HAVA Cares COVID-19 Grant With The NYS Board Of Elections – Ulster County Board Of Elections

Resolution Summary: This resolution authorizes the Chair of the Legislature to sign grant agreements in the amount of \$248,928.00 with the NYS Board of Elections to obtain Federal HAVA Cares funds to offset eligible COVID-19 expenses during the 2020 New York State Federal elections held on June 23, 2020 and November 3, 2020.

Motion No. 2: Resolution No. 193 MOVED FOR DISCUSSION
Motion By: Legislator Ronk
Motion Seconded By: Legislator Gavaris

Discussion: See attached transcript

Voting In Favor: Legislators Bartels, Gavaris, Heppner, Roberts & Ronk
Voting Against: None
Votes in Favor: 5
Votes Against: 0
Disposition: **Resolution ADOPTED**

Resolution No. 7: Adopting The Ulster County Code Of Conduct

Resolution Summary: This resolution adopts the Ulster County Code of Conduct

Motion No. 3: **Resolution No. 100 MOVED FOR DISCUSSION**
Motion By: Legislator Heppner
Motion Seconded By: Legislator Ronk

Discussion: See attached transcript

Motion No. 4: **Motion to POSTPONE Resolution No. 7**
Motion By: Legislator Ronk
Motion Seconded By: Legislator Heppner

Voting In Favor: Legislators Bartels, Gavaris, Heppner, Roberts & Ronk
Voting Against: None
Votes in Favor: 5
Votes Against: 0
Disposition: **Resolution POSTPONED**

Resolution No. 100: Setting A Public Hearing On Proposed Local Law No. 2 Of 2020, A Local Law Establishing Construction Apprenticeship Training Requirements For Certain Construction Contracts In Excess Of \$350,000, And Repealing Local Law Number 2 Of 2015, To Be Held On Tuesday, June 9, 2020 At 6:05 PM

Resolution Summary: This resolution sets a public hearing on Tuesday, June 9, 2020 at 6:05 PM for the purpose of allowing the public to offer comments on Proposed Local Law No. 2 Of 2020

Motion No. 5: **Resolution No. 100 MOVED FOR DISCUSSION**
Motion By: Legislator Ronk
Motion Seconded By: Legislator Roberts

Discussion: See attached transcript

Motion No. 6: **MOVED TO AMEND Proposed Local Law No. 2, Section 2. Definitions to read in the form as presented**

Motion By: Legislator Ronk
Motion Seconded By: Legislator Heppner

Discussion: See attached transcript

Voting In Favor: Legislators Bartels, Gavaris, Heppner, Roberts & Ronk
Voting Against: None
Votes in Favor: 5
Votes Against: 0
Disposition: **AMENDMENT ADOPTED**

Voting In Favor: Legislators Bartels, Gavaris, Heppner, Roberts & Ronk
Voting Against: None
Votes in Favor: 5
Votes Against: 0
Disposition: **Resolution ADOPTED WITH PROPOSED LOCAL LAW AS AMENDED**

Resolution No. 168: Setting A Public Hearing On Proposed Local Law No. 4 Of 2020, A Local Law Establishing Certain Consumer Protection Practices In Ulster County And Penalties For Violating Same, To Be Held On Tuesday, June 9, 2020 At 6:15 PM

Resolution Summary: This resolution sets a public hearing on Tuesday, June 9, 2020 at 6:15 PM for the purpose of allowing the public to offer comments on Proposed Local Law No. 4 Of 2020

Motion No. 7: **Resolution No. 168 MOVED FOR DISCUSSION**
Motion By: Legislator Ronk
Motion Seconded By: Legislator Roberts

Discussion: See attached transcript

Voting In Favor: Legislator Ronk
Voting Against: Legislators Bartels, Gavaris, Heppner & Roberts
Votes in Favor: 1
Votes Against: 4
Disposition: **Resolution DEFEATED**

Resolution No. 192: Setting A Public Hearing On Proposed Local Law No. 6 Of 2020, A Local Law Amending Various Provisions Of Local Law No. 1 Of 2020, A Local Law Amending The Code Of The County Of Ulster To Include Mandatory Food Scraps Composting By Large Generators, To Be Held On Tuesday, June 9, 2020 At 6:10 PM

Resolution Summary: This resolution sets a public hearing on Tuesday, June 9, 2020 at 6:10 PM for the purpose of allowing the public to offer comments on Proposed Local Law No. 6 Of 2020

Motion No. 8: **Resolution No. 192 MOVED FOR DISCUSSION**
Motion By: Legislator Ronk
Motion Seconded By: Legislator Heppner

Discussion: See attached transcript

Voting In Favor: Legislators Bartels, Gavaris, Heppner, Roberts & Ronk
Voting Against: None
Votes in Favor: 5
Votes Against: 0
Disposition: **Resolution ADOPTED**

Adjournment

Motion Made By: Legislator Ronk
Motion Seconded By: Legislator Heppner
No. of Votes in Favor: 5
No. of Votes Against: 0

TIME: 6:28 PM

Respectfully submitted: Deputy Clerk Mahler & Confidential Secretary Lichtenstein (transcript)
Minutes Approved: June 15, 2020

Laws & Rules, Governmental Services Committee
Regular Meeting Minutes

DATE & TIME: May 18, 2020 – 6:00 PM
LOCATION: Powered by Zoom Meeting by Dialing (646) 558-8656. Meeting ID: 919 1079 4453
PRESIDING OFFICER: Chairwoman Bartels
LEGISLATIVE STAFF: Jay Mahler, Deputy Clerk
PRESENT: Legislators Gavaris, Heppner, Roberts (arrived at 6:10 PM) & Ronk
ABSENT: None
QUORUM PRESENT: Yes

OTHER ATTENDEES: Legislators Archer & Petit, Legislative Counsel Gordon, Minority Counsel Pascale, Legislative Clerk Fabella, Chairman's Confidential Secretary Lichtenstein, Commissioners Turco & Dittus and Deputy Commissioner Fuentes – UC Board of Elections

Here's the link: <https://otter.ai/s/U52cOxyjQdqLGBjPeLLTdg>

Chairwoman Bartels: We'll call the meeting to order. You're recording.

Jay, do you mind taking attendance, please?

Deputy Clerk Mahler: Sure.

Chairwoman Bartels.

Chairwoman Bartels: Here.

Deputy Clerk Mahler: Legislator Gavaris.

Legislator Gavaris: Present.

Deputy Clerk Mahler: Legislator Heppner.

Legislator Heppner: Here.

Deputy Clerk Mahler: Legislator Ronk.

Legislator Ronk: Present.

Deputy Clerk Mahler: Legislator Roberts is absent at the moment. You have four present and one absent.

Chairwoman Bartels: Okay. Hopefully everyone's had a chance to look at the meeting minutes of April 27. I'll entertain a motion to accept the minutes.

Legislator Ronk: So moved.

Chairwoman Bartels: Do I have a second?

Legislator Gavaris: Second

Chairwoman Bartels: That's John Gavaris. Okay. All in favor of accepting the minutes?

Committee Members: Aye.

Legislator Bartels: All right. Opposed?

Okay. And we'll move into Resolutions. I'd like to entertain a motion to take agenda item number five, that's Late Resolution No. 193 out of order. We have Commissioners Dittus and Turco, along with Deputy Commissioner Fuentes on the phone. Can someone make a motion to take?

Legislator Ronk: I'll move it for discussion.

Legislator Bartels: Okay, do I have a second?

Legislator Gavaris: Second.

Chairwoman Bartels: That's Legislator Gavaris.

Okay. So, Late Resolution Number 193: LATE - Authorizing The Chair Of The Ulster County Legislature To Enter Into An Agreement Under The HAVA Cares COVID-19 Grant With The NYS Board Of Elections – Ulster County Board Of Elections

Do we have any questions for the Commissioners, or maybe I can open it to the Commissioners to just give a little background on this?

Commissioner Turco, Commissioner Dittus, are you there?

Commissioner Turco: I'm here.

Commissioner Dittus: I'm here. Can you hear me?

Chairwoman Bartels: I can. We can hear you both now. Okay.

Commissioner Turco: I don't know if you want to go through the questions first. If there are any questions?

Commissioner Dittus: Yeah.

Chairwoman Bartels: Are there any questions on the Resolution?

Legislator Ronk: No, I have some comments at the end, but they're about process, not about the Resolution itself.

Chairwoman Bartels: Okay. Any anyone else have any questions on the Resolution? Okay. Do you want to take? Do you want to talk about the process right now before we take the roll?

Legislator Ronk: Oh, yeah. I mean, if we're ready to vote, I'll speak now then.

Chairwoman Bartels: Yeah, no one had any questions. So.

Legislator Ronk: I just have growing concern about the way these Resolutions seem to be coming to us from the Board of Elections. This is at least the second time this year that a Resolution didn't follow our proper Resolution introduction processes. I understand that the grant came in late for this and therefore qualifies as a late. But really, it followed none of our processes regarding the introduction of late Resolutions, including memos and whatnot that are supposed to come to the Clerk of the Legislature. I understand we're in extreme circumstances here. But the last time we really weren't in extreme circumstances and the Resolution didn't follow our normal processes. So, it's my hope that the Commissioners, moving forward, when, you know, Resolutions like this are necessary, that they follow our proper processes.

Chairwoman Bartels: Okay. Are there any other comments?

Okay, all in favor of Resolution No. 193?

Committee Members: Aye.

Chairwoman Bartels: Any opposed?

Chairwoman Bartels: Kevin, is that... you're an Aye? Yeah. Okay. None opposed.

Okay. Thank you, Commissioners and Deputy Commissioner. I appreciate your willingness to come on to answer questions.

Commissioner Turco: All right, thank you.

Chairwoman Bartels: Moving back to the regular Agenda. We'll start with Resolution No. 7 - Adopting The Ulster County Code of Conduct. I'll entertain a motion for discussion.

Legislator Heppner: I'll move it.

Legislator Ronk: Second.

Chairwoman Bartels: Okay. Legislator Heppner, Legislator Ronk.

Okay, I'm going to ask again that we postpone this. Legislator Ronk and I haven't gotten together to discuss both code and ethics. But I'd like to ask that we try to do that this month, along with Counsels Pascale and Ragucci.

Legislator Ronk: Perhaps we could do some sort of a off-hours zoom meeting on it.

Chairwoman Bartels: Yeah, that would be ideal. So you and I can talk about it offline and put it off for a month. Because it we're just where we were last month. So, unless anyone has any comments on it, I'd entertain a motion to postpone for one month.

Legislator Ronk: I'll move to postpone for a month.

Legislator Heppner: Second.

Chairwoman Bartels: Okay, all in. That was Ronk and Heppner, Jay.

All in favor of postponement?

Committee Members: Aye.

Chairwoman Bartels: Opposed? Hearing none, we'll move on.

Resolution Number 100: Setting A Public Hearing On Proposed Local Law No. 2 Of 2020, A Local Law Establishing Construction Apprenticeship Training Requirements For Certain Construction Contracts In Excess Of \$350,000, And Repealing Local Law Number 2 Of 2015, To Be Held On Tuesday, June 9, 2020 At 6:05 PM

Legislator Ronk: I'll move it for discussion.

Chairwoman Bartels: Okay. Do I have a second? Okay, that was Ronk and Roberts.

Okay. And we have amendments in the packet. Has everyone had a chance to look at the amendments? Any questions on the amendments? Okay.

Legislator Ronk: I think for process, I move that we amend the Resolution, as it's written in our packet.

Legislator Heppner: I'll second that.

Chairwoman Bartels: Yes. Okay. All in favor of amending the Resolution in the packet, as presented in the packet?

Committee Members: Aye.

Chairwoman Bartels: All right. Opposed. Okay, Hearing none. So, on the Resolution, as amended?

All in favor?

Committee Members: Aye.

Chairwoman Bartels: Okay. Opposed? Okay. Hearing none, the Resolution passes, as amended.

Okay. Resolution No. 168: Setting A Public Hearing On Proposed Local Law No. 4 Of 2020, A Local Law Establishing Certain Consumer Protection Practices In Ulster County And Penalties For Violating Same, To Be Held On Tuesday, June 9, 2020 At 6:15 PM

Legislator Ronk: I'll move it.

Chairwoman Bartels: Okay. Do I have a second? Okay, that was Legislators Ronk and Roberts.

Chairwoman Bartels: Okay. On Resolution 168. Legislator Ronk.

Legislator Ronk: I mean, we had some discussion about this, you know, last month. I understand there's some consternation about the, the level of, you know, the 10 percent. I brought it up in Caucus as a discussion point. You know, with all the sponsors, and there's an unwillingness, I think, to move off of the 10 percent. You know, they think that it, again, you know, many folks in Caucus, you know, felt that this is a number that's being used in other pieces of legislation elsewhere.

You know, and again, you know, like many other laws, you know, it's not designed to be something that's going to go after folks who are marking up a roll of toilet paper by 10 percent. It's obviously going to be, you know, much more egregious violations are going to be the ones that are actually going to be prosecuted under this. As I believe you had said under the plastic bag law, and the Styrofoam law, and the straw law, and all the other environmental protection laws, that were, you know, mentioned on the floor, and in committee, as being more self-enforcing than penalizing people.

I also, you know... In Orange County, I think that it's, the language in the law is, you know, like price gouging of an unconscionable nature, or language such as that. Which I don't know, I feel like something like that would be even worse and harder to quantify. I've seen similar pieces of legislation in the State that that use the word unconscionable. Which again, I don't know where you, you know, I don't know where you draw that line, then I think that leaves it way too open to interpretation. So, as far as our Caucus is concerned, we'd like to, you know, vote on it as is.

Chairwoman Bartels: Would anyone else like to speak on it? I have some thoughts, but I want to open it to the...

Legislator Roberts. You're on mute Legislator Roberts. You're still muted. There you go.

Legislator Roberts: I'm on now. Good?

Chairwoman Bartels: Great.

Legislator Roberts: First, I'd like to apologize for being late, you know. I had a plumbing issue. My hands are filthy. Jay just happened to text me at 6:05 that I'm late again.

But as to this law, we've done many things in the past that we had good intentions on, and then several years later, something else has arisen. Like, I could name one, Kenny, with the election law, with the County Executive resigning. You know, there's other rules that we have written over the years that another... wasn't our intent when something else came up.

If this stands at 10 percent, if it's your intent that it doesn't hurt the country store that raises toilet paper up 10 percent, then it should say that in the law. As it's written, I'll be a no.

Legislator Ronk: You're on mute, Trace.

Chairwoman Bartels: Thanks. Would anyone else like to speak on this?

Okay, then I'm going to wholeheartedly agree with Legislator Roberts. I cannot support it at 10 percent. I really believe that the law has so many good things in it that I think we should be providing in terms of protections to Ulster County residents. But this aspect, the raising of prices. It's not just, to me, about the country store, which I think is a real concern. The fact that it's going to potentially provide a workload for our Weights and Measures in the form of complaints, and then, for the businesses to have to defend themselves to show that their supply chain changed by more than 10 percent.

This also applies to services, such as restaurants. And you might have a merchant that decides to change their prices by more than 10 percent that aren't critical supply prices. It's not we're not talking about medicines, or bleach, or gloves, or face masks. We might be talking about fancy Chicken Piccata and just their business model has changed. And because their business model changed, in the time of crisis, they need to charge more. And this wouldn't even allow them to do that. I definitely cannot support it at 10 percent so if we're moving forward with it right now, I'm a no, too.

Legislator Ronk.

Legislator Ronk: Thanks. I mean, I personally would be okay with negotiating the percentage, but, you know, the main sponsor, Legislator Lopez is not. It doesn't mean that if this were to go to the floor, tomorrow night, you know, the Legislature itself, you know, could amend it to whatever they want. We just can't amend it in Committee without the sponsors consent.

Chairwoman Bartels: Right. Right. And we had a question that in the chat from Legislator Petit asking about a friendly amendment, but that would have to happen on the floor, without the sponsor's consent.

You know, I also am sensitive to Laws and Rules being a Committee that often reviews things for the legal once they've been vetted through other Committees. But in the case of this law, this is also the Committee that is the Committee of origination for this bill. So, we actually are, and should be, debating on the merits. So...

Legislator Ronk: I agree.

Chairwoman Bartels: I'm a no on this. And, you know, depending on how it goes, maybe we can have another conversation about it. But I also think that Legislator Roberts' comments about the potential effects of putting in a law that we don't actually want to see at this number just might end up having really deleterious effects down the road. So...

Legislator Gavaris.

Legislator Gavaris: Thank you. So, is your impression that, for example... So, I read through this, and I know issues have come up in the past where, for example, I don't know if you ski or not...

Legislator Ken Ronk: No.

Legislator Gavaris: But you go to these ski resorts around here and they advertise 50 trails or whatever it is, but when you go there year after year, they don't maintain 50 trails. Based on the language in the law there, it could be considered a violation of it. Is the intent to go after those people as well?

Legislator Ronk: No, I mean, you'd have to explain your reasoning on how it would apply to, you know, say a ski resort that you know, because, you know, the ski resorts don't not operate 50 trails necessarily because of the fact that they don't feel like it, or they don't have the staff to do it. It's typically weather-related.

Legislator Gavaris: I can tell you Belleayre has had, they've had slopes that have been closed for 15 years now. They've never opened. But yet they're listed on their listings, and it's on the wall. Is it making false or misleading representations of fact concerning reasons this amount price reduction? I mean, there's a couple of sections in here that would potentially... I guess more my point is, it's the result of unintended consequences. You know, the 10 percent makes sense to me, as Legislator Roberts actually discussed last month. If you have a cap on that, or at least a minimum amount that actually kicks in. It doesn't make sense from toilet paper, all the way up to, you know, new cars. I think there's got to be brackets in which you explore that number, 10 percent.

Legislator Ronk: Yeah, I mean, I understand what you're saying about the about the brackets and whatnot. Again, that to me, is a slippery slope. Because then how do you arbitrarily set those brackets? And you know, Legislator Bartels might have a different, you know, belief on what the bracket should be set at than I do, and definitely really different than Legislator Lopez does. You know, on the ski resort thing, I find it incredibly hard to believe that the Weights and Measures Department would stretch enforcement to that level. I just, I can't see a scenario where operating 43 trails instead of 50 is a deceptive business practice. I....

Legislator Gavaris: The point I guess is we're making...

Legislator Heppner: Legislator Gavaris, can respond

Chairwoman Bartels: You can finish, Legislator Gavaris.

Legislator Gavaris: I was just more bringing out the point of, that somebody could bring a complaint forward, and you have a law in place that has the ability to address it. Yet, we're not going to. And similar to what Legislator Roberts said before, is you're ignoring, you're going to turn a blind eye to some practices, because it's not really your intent. But the law says that it is the intent. It's a slippery slope when you have things in there that it should address, but you don't really want it to. And future Legislators could look at it differently.

Chairwoman Bartels: Legislator Heppner.

Legislator Heppner: Yeah. So, where I see the 10 percent number, on the State level, where, and actually I am, even if it was on the County level, that might be something further to discuss. I'm actually comfortable with 10 percent if it is strictly in the confines of consumer medical products, is where the 10 percent has been included. Like, in a situation like that, during a public health crisis, you know, that is a number I'm comfortable with. Again, you know, but just for consumer medical supplies. You know, however, when you start, it gets broadened out and reaches into, you know, other lines of business, talking about the mom and pop stores, and those different products. is where I, again, have trouble with the 10 percent number. It's kind of where I stand.

Legislator Ronk: I mean, if I could just respond to that Tracey?

Chairwoman Bartels: Yeah, okay.

Legislator Ronk: I just, you know, for me, if we don't want our citizens to be price gouged to a certain level on medical supplies, I don't want them to be price gouged on a hamburger, at the same level. I just think that price gouging is price gouging. I mean, when you're taking advantage of a public health crisis, or a state of emergency, to make a couple of bucks off the residents of Ulster County, it's something that I just can't get on board with, no matter what the item.

Chairwoman Bartels: Legislator Heppner.

Legislator Heppner: Yeah, that is interesting, that in that those confines, that seems to be the issue you're seeing the most is consuming medical supplies. Like, you know, medical disinfectant and stuff like that. Also, I believe that with our two-member Weights and Measures crew who's being tasked with enforcing this, that you know, at least focusing the scope, if it was just 10 percent on medical supplies, would actually make it a lot more likely to be enforceable than a broader scope, that's another thought too.

Chairwoman Bartels: Yeah. I think that's an excellent point. And I just... to respond to you, Legislator Ronk. I just, I don't think 10 percent is price gouging. I think as it relates to medical supplies, I could get there. Because especially in a pandemic or a state of emergency, they are a critical need. But I also know, running a business, sometimes you have to make decisions to keep the business alive. And a 10 percent price change in a hamburger, at a restaurant, that's only doing 25% of its business, where people can choose not to get a hamburger takeout, is really different than getting a medical supply, for me. So.

Legislator Ronk.

Legislator Ronk: Just for the record, I mean, the County, currently under that circumstance, has been price gouged to the tune of 400% or 500% on some of the medical supplies we've been getting. I just want to throw that out there.

Chairwoman Bartels: Yeah. Absolutely.

Is there any more discussion on this? Or shall we call the vote?

Chairwoman Bartels: Legislator Roberts.

Legislator Roberts: Yeah, I think this is a good law, I want to credit the sponsor for bringing it up. But again, his unwillingness to compromise. I'm going to have to be a no.

Chairwoman Bartels: That's where I'm at too. So let's call the vote.

All in favor?

Legislator Ronk: Aye.

Chairwoman Bartels: Opposed?

Legislator Roberts: Aye.

Legislator Heppner: Aye.

Chairwoman Bartels: Aye

Legislator Gavaris: Aye.

Chairwoman Bartels: All right. Okay.

Resolution 192: Setting A Public Hearing On Proposed Local Law No. 6 Of 2020, A Local Law Amending Various Provisions Of Local Law No. 1 Of 2020, A Local Law Amending The Code Of The County Of Ulster To Include Mandatory Food Scraps Composting By Large Generators, To Be Held On Tuesday, June 9, 2020 At 6:10 PM

Legislator Ronk: I'll move it.

Chairwoman Bartels: Thank you. Second, please.

Legislator Heppner: Second

Chairwoman Bartels: Legislator Heppner. Okay.

On the Resolution. Is there any discussion? This is extending, pushing back the timeframe.

Legislator Gavaris.

Legislator Gavaris: Considering the Governor put in restrictions until the middle of June. I think this is a public hearing that probably should be done with the public. I think we should look to extend this out until July.

Chairwoman Bartels: Extend the public hearing? Legislator Ronk.

Legislator Ronk: Thanks. Just to Legislator Gavaris' point, under normal circumstances I would tend to agree with that. But we actually, in order to make the changes that we need to make on this law, which is extending the enforcement on, you know, the new rules. We actually have to do the public hearing and the vote in June. Otherwise, the, you know, the rules and regulations for these large generators go into effect I think, July 1. So, to push the public hearing out to July defeats the purpose of the law, in this case.

Chairwoman Bartels: Okay, I think that's a good point. And I think, you know, both in consideration of those that will be doing the enforcement in the County, and asking our businesses to ramp-up in the middle of the situation. I think pushing it back is the right thing.

Is there any more discussion on Resolution 192? Okay, all in favor of Resolution No. 192?

Committee Members: Aye.

Chairwoman Bartels: Opposed? Heard none, Jay.

Okay. Is there any old business that anyone would like to discuss? Any new business?

Okay, I'd entertain a motion to adjourn.

Legislator Ronk: So moved.

Chairwoman Bartels: Do I have a second? Legislator Heppner.

Chairwoman Bartels: All in favor of adjournment?

Committee Members: Aye.

Chairwoman Bartels: Okay. Thank you all. Thank you. That might be a record time for me.