

**Commission on Reapportionment
Meeting Minutes**

DATE & TIME: May 12, 2021 – 6:00 PM
LOCATION: Powered by Zoom Meeting by Dialing (646) 558-8656,
Meeting ID: 956 1062 6098
PRESIDING OFFICER: Commissioner Regis Obijiski (Rotating Chair)
LEGISLATIVE STAFF: Fawn Tantillo
PRESENT: Commissioners Sarah DeStefano, Donna Lutz, Andy
Monk, Kenneth Panza, and Kathleen Waithe
ABSENT: Travis Rask
QUORUM PRESENT: Yes

OTHER ATTENDEES: Ulster County Kristin Gumar, Ulster County Planning
Robert Leibowitz; Mike Baden, Supervisor, Town of Rochester.

Chairman Regis Obijiski called the meeting to order at 6:05.

Motion No. 1: To approve the minutes of May 12, 2021

Motion By: Commissioner Lutz
Motion Seconded By: Commissioner Waithe
Discussion: None.
Voting in Favor: Commissioners DeStefano, Lutz, Monk, Obijiski, Panza, and
Waithe
Voting Against: None
No. of Votes in Favor: 6
No. of Votes Against: 0
Disposition: Minutes approved.

Commissioners Obijiski and Waithe gave an update on the presentation to the Town of Saugerties and Commissioners discussed scheduling of other meetings.

Commissioner DeStefano and Monk and UC Planner Rob Leibowitz discussed various software mapping vendors. These programs offered mapping that could be accessed online that commissioners and the public could access. Commissioner Panza requested that he be given the complete program for his home computer and objected to accessing information only through his browser.

The Commissioners discussed setting up the website. Each Commissioner will send the commission clerk a short three sentence bio for the website.

The next meeting of the commission is June 12, 2021 at 6:00 and will be Chaired by Commissioner Kenneth Panza with Commissioner Kathleen Waithe as Vice Chair.

Chair Obijiski asked if there was any other business, hearing none;

Motion to Adjourn

Motion Made By: Commissioner Panza

Motion Seconded By: Commissioner Lutz

No. of Votes in Favor: 6

No. of Votes Against: 0

Time: 6:40 PM

Respectfully submitted by: Fawn Tantillo

Minutes Approved: June 12, 2021

**Commission on Reapportionment
Meeting Transcript**

DATE & TIME: May 12, 2021 – 6:00 PM
LOCATION: Powered by Zoom Meeting by Dialing (646) 558-8656,
Meeting ID: 956 1062 6098
PRESIDING OFFICER: Commissioner Regis Obijiski (Rotating Chair)
LEGISLATIVE STAFF: Fawn Tantillo
PRESENT: Commissioners Sarah DeStefano, Donna Lutz, Andy
Monk, Kenneth Panza, and Kathleen Waithe
ABSENT: Travis Rask
QUORUM PRESENT: Yes

OTHER ATTENDEES: Ulster County Kristin Gumar, Ulster County Planning
Robert Leibowitz; Mike Baden, Supervisor, Town of Rochester.

Regis Obijiski

Okay. I'll call this meeting to order. Welcome everyone. On read yourself with Jessica, the rotating chair for tonight. And we'll do everything in our power to meet Kathy schedule. [laughter] Travis Rask is excused, he is excuse for this evenings meeting. Probably busy with a lot of CPA crunch work. So, we'll miss him. And I'd like to take a quick Roll Call of Commissioners. So, Sara De Stefano.

Sarah DeStefano
Hello.

Regis Obijiski
Hello, Donna Lutz.

Donna Lutz
Here I am,

Regis Obijiski
Andy Monk

Andy Monk
President.

Regis Obijiski
Ken Panza.

Kenneth Panza
Here.

Regis Obijiski
And Kathy Waithe.

Kathleen Waithe
Here.

Regis Obijiski
Okay, everyone's here. Travis is excused.

So, is there any comments on the agenda? Do we need to address anything that is not there that anyone can think of? Okay, if something comes up, we'll address that later on the meeting. I'd like to get to our first order of business, which is the minutes from the from last month's meeting from April 14. And someone give us a motion to accept those minutes.

Donna Lutz
Motion.

Kathleen Waithe
Second.

Regis Obijiski
All in favor? Okay. Okay. Okay. Great. carries. All are Yes. The...if someone, let's say the...lost my agenda here for a second? The second, second part, second item on the agenda are the, the town meetings. They have commenced? Barely. But we started. And I would like to have a little, little discussion on this. But first, I'd like Kathy, whom I joined the Town of Ulster town board meeting to make some comments and get a few reflections on that very first meeting of Commissioners with the municipalities. So, Kathy,

Kathleen Waithe
I attended the first meeting with Regis. And first before I even say anything, I just wanted to thank you. I know you, you didn't know I was going to do this. But I wanted to thank Regis in front of everyone, my fellow commissioners. Without you. I don't know. It was a bit intimidating. So thank you very much. Regis did an excellent, excellent job.

And it also just my own personal insight. It's one thing to discuss attending these meetings, but when you're actually on, so to speak. It's very helpful to have someone else there. So I think I put my name on one of the meetings. I believe it was May 7, I don't remember May 5, where I was supposed to attend alone. And I really did I did I lose everyone? Oh, oh, okay. And I realized that it's, it's certainly helpful to have a fellow commission there with you. And in particular, for example, there was an area, they spoke of, Regis, I don't remember? I don't know if you remember? But there was an area near Saugerties that I was totally unfamiliar with, that they were asking you about. And Regis knew exactly what they were talking about. So, I don't know if my fellow Commissioners know, all of the various towns in Ulster County, but it's helpful to have someone that may know more about let's say, I don't know Woodstock than myself. So, just thank you.

And in terms of the, the meeting itself, I thought it went well. I don't think that they asked anything that was something that we couldn't answer. Again, it was the first meeting and we didn't have we don't have the census information or anything like that. But I think it went well in terms of organization. The questions...the few questions that they had was fair. Again, we couldn't give them too much information because we didn't have we don't have the numbers yet, but we did inform

them an approximation of when we might receive the census information. And that's basically it. I don't know if you if you agree Regis or

Regis Obijiski
Yeah, no,

Kathleen Waithe
I thank you, though.

Regis Obijiski
Oh, it was it was it was great. Great job. You did, too. We did it together.

Kenneth Panza
Did they have any comments about the existing arrangement? You know, they like it, or they offer suggestions for changing? Did they have any problems with the existing?

No, they, they didn't have any comments about that. There's nothing that we took notes on, let's put it that way. Right. Right. Kathy?

No. Right. And we directed them to the website. And if they did, Regis also mentioned that if they had any further comments or questions that perhaps they that, you know, later on, they thought of we directed them to our, the email. Right, available.

Regis Obijiski
Right. And, and we, we actually, we had the opportunity to go there in person, or via Zoom, and we chose by Zoom.

Kathleen Waithe
Right. It was fine.

Regis Obijiski
Right. Okay. So, so, that part worked very well. And, and as Kathy was saying, there were some, some questions about, you know, the town and being paired with, with other municipalities. Right. That that kind of thing. But, you know, I thought it was I think I thought it was smooth. And one of the things that we did before, I guess maybe the day before or two couple days before was we took a look at that script that our committee had prepared and it kind of gave us a focus, but it really gave us... it gave us a focus. And so, that, that that I think worked, worked in our favor. So, you know, many more, many more ahead. Well, well, thanks. Thanks for that. Thanks, Kathy.

And one of the things is, you know, I want to thank I want to thank Fawn for the Google spreadsheets. That spreadsheet that you put together they made it easy for us to just put our initials. I, when I did it, I thought, I wasn't sure exactly if I was doing the right thing. Or if it would stick and it does. I looked at it I looked at it recently I didn't see a lot of initials on there. Am right about that, Fawn?

Fawn Tantillo
You're correct. I was going to pull it up. That's why I was trying to see if I could share my screen. I hope I can do this. Oops, hang on. I keep hitting the wrong things... share my screen. I can find that from sharing the whole thing I want to share this document. Do you see this spreadsheet now?

Regis Obijiski

Yes.

Fawn Tantillo

Okay. So, we didn't hear back from all the towns. I put the dates in from the towns we did hear from so like Saugerties. Kathy had checked May 5, and Regis checked May 19. Because we already had one set for May 6. I just thought that we didn't want somebody to go alone which turned out to be a good idea. Anyway, so we don't even have to do May. We could do June.

The next step would be... like I have initials in Woodstock but have not heard back from them yet. So, what I can start to do is call the towns and see if they want you to come sometime. Some, you know, seem to be eager to have us to come and, and, I mean, it's always like that some are you know...

Kenneth Panza

In Woodstock, the, the, town board is so we're overwhelmed now with COVID on the table so there are a lot of things...

Fawn Tantillo

That's just it. But, so I can do whatever you like some of these dates are like... Wawarsing: Nobody had signed up for Wawarsing, they had gave us several dates. Saugerties: we still need to go there. I just changed Ulster because we did that one town, to red, I figured I'd just make... I wanted to somehow distinguish that that town was finished for this round. And, you know, kind of go from there.

I'm not sure. I've never tried to do this many meetings for this many people before, but I wasn't quite sure how to do it. Town of Kingston. I see. But again, Kathy and Regis both check that off May 19 is the next date that they offered. Because you didn't put your initials by that date. I was sure if that didn't work for either of you, but they are May 19, then so I thought there was Oh, yeah. So, Saugerties, so it wasn't, you know, wasn't exactly sure how to proceed with that. So that's really to Saugerties on that. I do have the Village of Saugerties. And they gave us June 7, and June 21.

Sarah DeStefano

Okay, Fawn, can I ask that you recirculate this link. After the meeting, I did a purge of my email, and I can't seem to find the link now. So

Fawn Tantillo

I'd be happy to.

Sarah DeStefano

Thank you very much.

Fawn Tantillo

It was my time doing something one of these shared sheets, so I wasn't sure it was going to get out. So. I was so excited when I saw initial start to show up in it that it worked.

Sarah DeStefano

It looks great. Well done.

Fawn Tantillo

So... but that's, that's sort of how I pictured this working. And like I say, I can start to call some of the towns. And the fact that you like, Donna has her initials in some of these and, like, so I thought

I would reach out to Marlborough and, you know, Gardner and Esopus. If that's the town you're interested in, go ahead and keep adding your initials to that column E. And even if we don't have towns there yet, and I'll know that you're the commissioners that are that that would be maybe easiest for you to go to or like Kathy said, maybe an area where you're a little bit familiar with the, you know, with the town boundaries, and you know, so if they do have a question about how the towns are hooked together, like I know, in Shawangunk the way Cragmoor is hooked, part of a Wawarsing and Shawangunk are hooked together. You know, it might be important to know those have the lay of the land in those areas.

Kenneth Panza

Now, because those two towns gonna, gonna have a big difference this time around, because in the 10 years ago, they had to include the prison population. Yes, time around with donor population.

Fawn Tantillo

Yeah. And it's funny, because I noticed one of the analysis you did was looking at voters, too. the Watchtower farm is in shotgun, which is a huge population it must have. There's, I don't know, Rob may have a better... Robert and Dennis may have a better idea of the population, but it's, it's a significant population. And generally, they don't vote.

Robert Leibowitz

It's over 1000 on like, one parcel.

Fawn Tantillo

Just on that one parcel. And I know, my, my mother lived like a quarter of a mile from there, sold her house to people who are associated with Watchtower. So, there's a lot of Jehovah Witnesses in that community who simply don't vote. But, so, looking at voters, and you know, it's going to be very different than looking at the population.

Kenneth Panza

I was just playing around with that was the only numbers. They don't really doesn't really enter in to this whole, this whole conversation.

Fawn Tantillo

Certainly not in your conversation. But once you draw the districts, those numbers are really important to, you know, to people campaigning in those areas to look at. So, it's, I mean, it's a really in another in another world. And not your world right now. But it's, it was interesting to see it so well, after the meeting.

Regis Obijiski

Yeah. Thanks. Thanks, Fawn, and thanks for sending, send... sending that out again. And so when you're going to send out again, it's not going to be a fresh one. It's going to be that one, right?

Fawn Tantillo

That one, yes.

Regis Obijiski

Yeah, Okay, except I'm sure I don't remember what I signed up for to be perfectly honest with you. So, I really need to keep that really close to, to my, my attention so that I don't I don't lose track.

I would say that the sooner, the sooner we sign up for these, these meetings, the better that we all have a handle on it. And so, everybody is, I'm sure, busy, busy with, with other things, but do your

best to take a crack at it too. So, that we can we can prepare the town's and prepare ourselves for this. And if anybody gets stuck, you know, I think most of us you know, are willing to adjust and you know, fill in and so that we can go two by two and that sort of thing. So, okay, well, thanks. And thanks, thanks for being so solicitous about not all this.

Fawn Tantillo

One of the things that I hadn't really noticed till I sent the email out because it didn't dawn on me is that we were sending Regis and Kathie and that's right.

Regis Obijiski

Yeah, yep, I saw Mr. Donaldson's remark there. Yes. I don't want to say that. That's the first time I heard that. iconic duo I used to get. Why is it good? Kathy? You know, you know if I'd meet somebody like playing golf or whatever, and they say, oh, how's Kathie Lee? Or who's the one before Kathie Lee, I'd get I get I'd get her tonight. And I'd always, you know, try to say, the first time I heard that, that is so good.

Anyway, the next, next item on the agenda has to do with the, with the software that that we choose. And I'm especially grateful to Sarah and Andy and, and Travis for volunteering for the Subcommittee on, on software. As, as, as well as Rob and Dennis and the support that they have lent led to this. And it's, it's not easy, and it's even harder for those of us who are just not conversant with, with this type of software. So, I'm especially personally, very grateful for that.

So, it could we start off with Sara and Andy with the comment on what you've done so far. And, and then and then, Rob, however you want to pick up with it with this. Let's, let's just go for it. So, Sarah, Andy.

Sarah DeStefano

Sure, um, I'm sure Rob can fill in where I, where I missed up here. But we met with two companies, I believe the first was ESRI and the second was Caliber.

I think the four of us all sorts basically agree that they're essentially the same product for all three products are really essentially the same as per our non-GIS expert. You know, usage. They all have a desktop program that has greater functionality than an online program. But in many ways, the online program, the browser program, I mean, it's not a software on your computer. It provides, basically, what we would need as commissioners to do the initial phases of things as non-GIS experts. Also, the, the two we met with, as a subcommittee had the ability for the public to join into the discussion. ESRI had maybe, maybe more of a build out functionality. But I think to the extent to which we're going to have members of the public, the Caliber ability to log in and create a map and people can add to maps but can't change other people's... can't save over files for maps. I think we have a sense of where functionality wise and mixed with pricing, what we would recommend. But I think we're still waiting on some of the, like the final stamp of approval from Caliber, because there was some confusion on the pricing from them. And I think we're holding off to make our, you know, official suggestion.

But I think what it's going to come down to, and this is up for discussion is that there would be desktop versions available. But we would be using a browser version as commissioners to play with the mapping.

And this would really provide us with all of the functionality we would need to map but would be missing some of the more expert tools that we would want someone who has GIS experience to run before we officially present a map. But for the most for, you know, for our purposes as

commissioners who don't know how to use those sorts of data tools would be really above and beyond what we even need. And Andy or... and Rob, please jump in if you have anything further to say on that regard. But I think that's more or less the baseline.

Robert Leibowitz

Andy, if you want to say anything first before I jump in,

Andy Monk

I know I think Sarah covered it pretty well. So, I'm right there with you guys.

Robert Leibowitz

Um, well, just as far as I don't know about expert tools, per se, but just processing tools not really the analysis tools. What we found was the basic ones as far as analyzing the quality districts there, there on it.

And what that we were looking at were two products. The ESRI product, ESRI was really a standalone online product, which cost \$5300. But also had a built-in component which you could export it to a website, show all the different maps all the districts and allow for a common team directly. So, you'd serve it on a website and people would comment. The problem with that version is, essentially it would be that one license and then the... really what would happen in order to sub is there is no way of getting around that \$5300 price per license, and it's all on the web is that basically have a zoom meeting essentially, or a meeting in person where you're basically directing staff to draw the lines in real time.

The real reason why I think we're focusing on the Caliper product is that it contains there's two, two functions I was in we're having a little, I got one quote from them for 10,000, Grand for \$10,000. And there's another quote out there for 20. So that's where we're coming at. But so what it requires is the \$10,000 version is a web based client and has all the basic features you need to everybody on the committee to draw lines. And the one big thing we found out, it wasn't just 50 users could use it was 50 concurrent users. So that means, in theory, if we served it, anybody in the county could log in, make a map, they would not edit over any maps. they'd have a base map to start from. So sad to have two different base maps, one would be blank. And one would be the previous existing legislative districts is a good starting point for people. And people could come in and start their own and do what they want. And then the groups could share back, what they're only their completed product, we can set parameters of what could be shared back. And for example, a completed plan would be one of those parameters. What else?

Sarah DeStefano

So, it seems to me, it seemed to be the best mixture of at home functionality from a browser, so you don't have to worry about what operating system you're using. I know, at least two of us are using Macs. And a lot of the desktop software requires windows. It had all of the mapping functionality that I felt that as a baseline we need. And then it brought in the public comment without adding like a lot of money. I felt like from the ESRI adding in the public comment seemed, if my notes are right, to be additional funds, am I am I wrong on that?

Robert Leibowitz

I'm not ... The ESRI one was... you have the program and it could export something to the web, we just have to serve it on the website.

Sarah DeStefano

Okay.

Robert Leibowitz

So, I don't know if wouldn't be an extra cost after that. The Caliber product you so you have a 10,000 starting price. And then you have to have one license to manage it. So that's that amount we had originally gotten. So we have that price plus, we're looking at the price we're waiting on is what does it cost to actually serve the thing on the web, on the server, plus customer support to install it on the server and you know, maintenance and things like that. So that could add up.

The original quote, a base quote on that was 20. And then I got a quote for 10. So we'll see where to actually get back everything. There's a big difference. There's a big difference between doing this for \$5,000 and potentially 20 to 30. So, but I think if the commissioners actually want it in their hands to physically draw lines on their own. So we'll do whatever ever decision y'all come to your request to budgeting will need to be necessary.

Regis Obijiski

Well, I'll tell you, I I go along with with Andy and Sarah because I'm a Mac user, too. I know you do the right thing. So but I mean that if you one of the things I wanted to ask Rob was, was there ever a budget given to us besides being reasonable frugal functional?

Robert Leibowitz

No, unfortunately, not a last time. We got a \$50,000 budget, but no budget at all was assigned to the commission. So you'll have will have to go back to the county executive and find some funds. Right?

Regis Obijiski

Right. Well, if we if we...

Kenneth Panza

As I said, I want the full package that I can run on my computer I don't have any problems with windows. I don't fully equipped with more than capable capability for running any sort of Windows program.

Robert Leibowitz

I believe if we use the Caliper on Mac...

Kenneth Panza

Whatever, I just don't have to deal through the, through the web.

Sarah DeStefano

I think though that it, it would be it's a, it's a program, it's not like you're... it's not really dealing through the web. I mean, it's a full program that you're just accessing through a browser, if that makes sense.

Kenneth Panza

I'm familiar with that type of program. I mean, I want the full program. On my, on my computer. I don't want to have to deal with a subset on the web.

Robert Leibowitz

It would be a full...

Kenneth Panza

And I think I've said that the last two or three meetings, that, you know, if you go through this discussion,

Sarah DeStefano

You, you did, Ken, and I think that in our research of it, we found that it is really a full program, it's just, you're accessing it on a browser versus a, you know, a desktop, you know, program,

Kenneth Panza

There is a huge difference in those two environments.

Sarah DeStefano

I get it... I'm, I'm curious, what is...Can I ask what the desire is to have it as a...like a desktop program versus a browser capability?

Kenneth Panza

I want the full capability. I don't want to subset of the capability.

Sarah DeStefano

But I, I understand that completely. But I, I suppose this

Kenneth Panza

I've told you my position. I'm not here to defend it. That's the position of what I want. I know you can deny it, you don't have to you know agree with me but that, that's what my position is.

Sarah DeStefano

I'm saying I hear you. I'm just trying to...as the subcommittee to understand the motivations so that we can then come to a solution. Because if I don't understand your motivation behind wanting every tool, then it's hard for me to go and ask the companies, how we can adjust to assuage your concern or to get you, you know, what a version of that. I would just, I'm just asking for what the motivation is behind one or the other.

Kenneth Panza

I have no reason to explain the motivation. Beyond that I want the full capability.

Regis Obijiski

I think they can...I think that we can do their very best , Ken I think we got...

Kenneth Panza

I don't run a Mac. I don't have the same problems that people with Macs do.

Regis Obijiski

Sure,

Sarah DeStefano

I think we're trying to find a way to be fair to all of the commissioners while also realizing that we don't have any budget. So, to spend an additional five or \$10,000 so that one Commissioner,

Kenneth Panza

If the County and the for now, county is not willing to give us the tools I need to do this job, then the question is, why am I here?

Sarah DeStefano

I think it's that we all have the same tools to do the job, too. And I don't think you're losing any tools by having one or the other is what I'm trying to explain.

Kenneth Panza

I expressed my opinion and I expect that's what I will receive as a result of the subcommittee work. Whatever program you choose, I want the full capability.

Regis Obijiski

Oh, other, other than that, Ken, the other than "the sky is the limit" you know, I think I think the committee is going to do their best to come up with a functionality that really, really suits the purpose. So, I think I think everybody's heard loud and clear. I just every every meeting you were you were very clear on that. So I think they'll take that into consideration.

Robert Leibowitz

Yeah, I agree. We just had you been we've been very clear about what it is that you do want. I think the issue is that we need to justify that extra expense, if we are going to in fact spend those additional funds. And I hear that you are totally uninterested in explaining your reasoning behind wanting that software and you are within your rights to do that. Just know that that makes it a little harder for us to justify that additional expense. If in fact, we were

Kenneth Panza

This was a 10-year project on a foundation of democracy. Whatever we need to spend, the legislature needs to provide it.

Robert Leibowitz

Right. I think the issue is that we don't actually have that additional money.

Kenneth Panza

...if they do.

Sarah DeStefano

Yeah, I think it's that we don't necessarily need to spend that amount of money in order to get the job done appropriately. And that's why I would help to understand the motivation, because perhaps... you perhaps the motivation is

Kenneth Panza

There is no reason if you continue with the discussion. I mean, I've stated my I position. And that's the position I take.

Sarah DeStefano

I completely disagree with you. I think that yeah. I think it would just help us understand.

Regis Obijiski

Yeah.

Kenneth Panza

That doesn't matter. Those are my requirements.

Regis Obijiski

I think I think we can I think we can we can safely say we're gonna move on here.

And Rob, is there anything, anything more that you have to add? And by the way, you you've been really great with, with us and you've done... you know, such a such a remarkable job providing a level of detail that's way over my head.

Robert Leibowitz

I think I don't think I have anything else to report. I'm waiting on those numbers. All I can say is as far as the programs... You know... 10 years ago, nobody on the commission actually drew their own line. So, whatever we, we use You'll have, you'll actually have a lot more ability to do actually make lines on your own than the last commission did. So, and any program that we do have will allow you to fully make and analyze your district.

Regis Obijiski

Great. Okay, well, well, thank you. Thank you, everyone. And Dennis, for your work on this. And and Mike Baden, you know, from the Town of Rochester, the experience that you gave us from 10 years ago was, was valuable to me. And, and so we're happy, happy to have you on board with all this, too.

So, thanks to the to the three members of this subcommittee. Really appreciate it. We were not going to move on to the website update. That was a little bit... a little bit controversial. And we know that sky is not the limit when it comes to that. And that there's something there's even a higher hurdle and those are approvals. So, we're going to try and make this as simple as possible. And Fawn has been, been fabulous. I've had a conversation with her subsequent to last month's meeting, and she's given me some reassurances, not promises reassurances, that we could get the lion's share this done.

And you know, if something, if something needs to be sacrificed, Donna, Kathy and I can get over it not easily. [laughter] We've got over it. But you know, we and we understand that that the format is the existing format is fine. And so, you know, the look up the look of that is really okay with us. And if we can squeeze in updated materials and in a sense, properly to say, improved information, then all to the altar, the good. So, do you want to add to that, Fawn.

Fawn Tantillo

I would just add a couple of things. A couple of you sent me your, your brief little Bios. So, you haven't all sent them to me. So, I haven't posted any of them yet. Right now. Just your names are on that website. I'll send reminders out to folks that I don't have the Bios on.

Also, I your agendas and minutes are up on all, you know, as you approve them. They're all up on the website already. So, and we changed the very first screen, you see, so it's delineates the last redistricting committee and districts and your work. So, I think that's pretty obvious. Now on the website, that was my one big concern is that it wasn't clear to me just going in if I didn't know anything, what I was looking at. If was looking at the 2011 plan or the 2021 plan. So, I think we've started fleshing some of that out. Right. And well, I'll keep working on it.

Regis Obijiski

No, and you're great because you can negotiate. We're counting on you, Fawn. Thanks for, thanks for all those all those efforts. One of one of the things that just underscores something that Fawn said that, you know, we can we have some control over what we can do. And one of those things is to get our, our little Bios and we're only talking about three sentences. I know we have much more to say about ourselves than three sentences. But you know, that's what we have control over we decided a while back to skip the glamor shots, right? So, there'll be no no, no, glamor shots, no

photos. Just, just word just verbiage. And, and I think that'll be fine. So, if you could get that into, into Fawn so that she has, has something to post there, all to the good.

Okay. Is there any new business? Any business? I asked earlier, anything that needs, needs, needs addressing that wasn't on, on this? Any, any old business that we, we needed to cover? No.

Okay. If there is no other business to address, and I'll entertain a motion for adjournment.

Sarah DeStefano
I'll so mov, to adjourn.

Regis Obijiski
Kathy. Not Kathy. I'm sorry, Sarah. Kathy makes a second. All in favor. Yes. Okay. Thank you very much. This was, I guess, a record, record time.

Robert Leibowitz
I think it was great.

Sarah DeStefano
Well done, Regis.

Kenneth Panza
Grab a few more lightweight.

Regis Obijiski
Okay. All right. Thanks, everyone. See you next month.

Sarah DeStefano
Take care. Have a good month, everyone.